SCHOOL OF LIFE SCIENCES AND THE ENVIRONMENT

Department of Earth Sciences:

Annual Review Information:

Timeline:

Students in the Department of Earth Sciences are required to undertake their first Annual Review by the end of their ninth-month of study.

Full-time students are then expected to have their first attempt at upgrade within 20 months of registering on the course. Further information about the Upgrade Process in the Department of Earth Sciences can be found here.

For full-time students who successfully upgrade, the next Annual Review will be due by the end of the 33rd month of study. Those students who have not submitted by 3 years and 6 months of their registration will be required to attend a Pre-Submission Interview with their Department PGR Lead. Further information about this meeting is provided below.

For part-time students, the first annual review must take place by the end of the ninth-month of study, however once this is complete, further annual reviews ought to take place once every 12 months from this first review until the first attempt at upgrade after 40 months of registration. Once the upgrade is complete, you are expected to complete further annual reviews until your pre-submission interview six months prior to your final submission deadline.

You will be notified approximately 6 weeks before your deadline, informing you that you are due to undertake an annual review. It is expected that you will liaise with your supervisory team to arrange the meeting at a time that suits all involved. If you know that your supervisor or advisor are often away (e.g. field work) please try to arrange your meeting well in advance, you do not need to wait for this reminder email.

Two weeks before your meeting is due to take place, you will need to send a copy of all the relevant documents to your supervisory panel, with the Doctoral School copied in.

On the day that the meeting takes place – one of the members of the panel (typically your supervisor) should ensure the annual review form is signed by all parties, and then submitted with the supporting documents to the Earth Sciences Postgraduate Research Lead who will check it, offer further feedback (if appropriate) and forward it to Doctoral School for processing.

Composition of the Annual Review Panel:

In order to be considered properly constituted, your annual review panel must consist of at least one member of your supervisory team, as well as an independent member of staff from the Department/School.
Annual Review Documentation:

Year 1 Annual Review:

The purpose of the first annual review is to provide the student with feedback on oral presentation and written work from all committee members, to discuss future directions for the project, plans for publication, conferences, field and lab work etc. The meeting will also review training progress, to determine if the student should continue on the MPhil to PhD programme and set targets for upgrade to PhD where applicable. The meeting also provides the student an opportunity to raise any issues they have experiencing.

In order to demonstrate ‘good progress’ at your first Annual Review, you will be expected to produce a satisfactory oral presentation as well as written work that:

- Documents and shows understanding of the context and underlying justification for the project (this is typically based on literature review).
- Clearly states the aims and objectives of the project.
- Describes, interprets and discusses initial results.
- Demonstrates a clear, well justified plan for future work for the next year with basic timetable.
- The written work should be presented at the standard required in a draft thesis chapter – with logical order, appropriate headings and sub-headings in ranks and with images/diagrams designed to fit an A4 thesis page allowing for margins as required in the regulations.

The oral presentation ought to:

- Take around 15 minutes.
- Include a background to the project, along with its aims, hypotheses to be tested and materials and methods to be used. Some initial results or an in-depth critical literature should also be included.

The specific requirements for written work should be discussed with the supervisor. The minimum written documentation to be provided at the first-year review is:

- Written work specific to your project as required by supervisor.
- A bullet pointed list of major achievements and future plans for the next year, including a timetable.
- A record of major meetings with supervisor, with dates and key agreed actions. A template for the Supervisory Meeting Log is available on the Annual Review Page; however you may use your own format if this would be beneficial.
- An up-to-date training log record. (A copy is available on the Annual Review Page)
- A printed receipt showing that you have completed the Postgraduate Research Experience Survey.

In addition, you should complete your parts of the Annual Review form and send that to your panel alongside the other documents listed above. As part of the review meeting, you should agree with the panel what the requirements are for the written work to be submitted for the Upgrade meeting.

Once the meeting has been completed, the signed Annual Review Form should be sent to the Department PGR Lead for approval, before being sent to the Doctoral School for Processing.
Year 3 Annual Review:

The purpose of this meeting is to review the progress and discuss a timetable to submission. Key points to be discussed in this meeting include (but are not limited to):

- Is the thesis plan achievable in the time remaining or is it too ambitious?
- If the latter, what content is essential and what could be changed/removed.
- If new data is required, is it still essential and can it be obtained in the remaining time frame?
- Are there clear plans (headings and subheadings) for the discussion chapter?
- Is the thesis organisation optimal and logical for the examiners?
- Are more illustrations or improved text explanations/figure captions likely to be needed?
- Do the thesis plan and draft chapters’ text and figures follow the required format for submission?

It is expected that drafts of some chapters of the thesis will already have been given to supervisors for feedback and will at least be in their second draft when seen at this meeting.

An oral presentation should be made at the start of the meeting if requested by supervisors or if the student wishes to do so.

You are expected to provide a complete thesis plan with chapter headings, sub-headings and draft thesis chapters. This thesis plan should be annotated to show the status of each chapter, as well as providing a timeline for the completion of the thesis.

The specific requirements for written work should be discussed with the supervisor. The minimum documentation to be provided at the third year review is:

- Written work as requested by supervisor (should be thesis chapters all in correct thesis format – please see the Instructions and Notes on Submission for further information).
- Complete thesis contents list and plan with all chapter headings and subheadings. (Please check Instructions and Notes on Submission for required introductory content).
- Annotations on the thesis plan showing work status for each part of each chapter and timeline for completion of thesis.
- Overall plan and timetable to submission
- A record of major meetings with supervisor, with dates and key agreed actions. A template for the Supervisory Meeting Log is available on the Annual Review Page.
- An up-to-date training log record. (A copy is available on the Annual Review Page)
- A printed receipt showing that you have completed the Postgraduate Research Experience Survey.

As with the Year 1 review, once the meeting is completed, you will be expected to send the signed form to the Departmental PGR Lead for approval before submitting it to the Doctoral School for processing.

Year 4 Pre-Submission Interview:

All students who have not submitted by 3 years and 6 months are required to attend a meeting with the Earth Sciences PGR lead.

- You are required to bring to the meeting a lap-top or external hard drive containing a folder for each thesis chapter with all text and illustrations clearly organized in the folder. Every chapter should be included – even those with no content.
• No work should be done for this meeting that is not already being done

The purpose is for student to ask any questions they have and for PGR lead to give advice to help ensure timely submission whilst minimizing the risks associated with submission very close to the final deadline (one such risk would be an outcome of re-enter for the examination and represent the thesis within 18 months).

As with previous annual reviews, once the meeting has been completed you will be expected to sign the Pre-Submission Interview form before sending it to the Earth Sciences PGR lead for approval. They will then submit the form to the Doctoral School for processing.

**Annual Review Outcomes:**

After your meeting has taken place, there are three possible outcomes that the Annual Review Panel can choose from. These are:

- Good progress
- Satisfactory progress, save for minor concerns in one or more areas
- Unsatisfactory progress

If you receive an outcome of 'Unsatisfactory progress', you will be required to undertake a further review within a few months of the original meeting. The deadline for this referral meeting will be clearly stated on the Annual Review form and confirmed by the Doctoral School when the form is processed. In certain cases, the Department/School may consider whether to issue a formal warning. Further information about this process can be found in the [Research Degree Regulations](#).